



DRAFT

## Technical Bulletin Manuscript Review Form -- Reviewer A

---

**Manuscript Information** (to be completed by TFEC Technical Bulletin Editor)

Date sent to reviewer:

Editor:

Title of Bulletin:

Author(s):

Manuscript Status (check one):  Initial Review,  Re-review

---

**Reviewer Recommendation** (to be completed by manuscript reviewer; please select one)

Accept in present form

Tentatively accept, re-review required

Decline, no resubmission

**Critique** (type or print comments to support your review, use additional sheets if necessary)

DRAFT

**Review Instructions**

If you cannot review this manuscript, please return it immediately. If you cannot review the manuscript within four weeks, but can do so at a later date, please advise the TFEC Editor immediately.

You are encouraged to use an additional sheet for comments. Do not make comments directly on the manuscript, as it will not be returned to the author(s).

Reviewers are anonymous to authors (your name and signature will be omitted from the copy of the review form sent to authors).

Use a professional approach in your comments. Provide assistance to the author(s). Be as specific as possible in your comments. Specific questions to consider in your evaluation include:

- Does the manuscript present a specific, easily identifiable advance in knowledge? Is it applicable and useful to the profession?
- Has the information already been published elsewhere, either wholly or in part?
- Is the subject matter appropriate for the timber frame industry?
- Do the title and abstract accurately describe the contents? Does the abstract include all of the main findings of the study?
- Is the review of literature limited to that framing the new knowledge? Are all references pertinent and complete?
- Is the methodology sufficiently well explained that someone else knowledgeable about the field could repeat the study?
- Is each figure and table necessary to the understanding of the conclusions? Can any be omitted without comprising the bulletin's message?
- Are the results soundly interpreted and related to existing knowledge on the topic?
- Are the conclusions justified? Do they follow logically from data presented?
- Do all elements of the manuscript relate logically to the study's statement of purpose?
- Can the bulletin be shortened without comprising its message?

**Reviewer's Information** (Names will be removed before comments are forwarded to authors.)

Name:

Date:

Address:

Email:

Phone:

Fax: